



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
B1W 03-05

MODIANO & ASSOCIATI
VIA MERAUVIGLI, 16
MILAN 20123
ITALY

COPY MAILED

MAR 08 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Maximilano Zenti
Application No. 09/913,317
Filed: 10 August, 2001
Att'y Docket No. 35106/GM/lp

:
:
:
:
:

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 1.137(b),¹ filed on 23 December, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 7 May, 2004, for failure to timely reply to the non-final Office action mailed on 6 February, 2004, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. The filing of the present petition precedes the mailing of Notice of Abandonment. The petition

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

filed on 26 August, 2004, was dismissed on 25 October, 2004, for lack of the petition fee.

An amendment accompanies the renewed petition.

The petition fee will be charged to counsel's deposit account, No. 13-3860, as authorized in the present renewed petition.

The application will be referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3643 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions